



Detailed plan for proficiency testing

1. Identification and address of the PT provider

The organizer of the project is PT provider UCLSB ("Union of Construction Laboratory Specialists in Bulgaria") Ltd, 5300 Gabrovo, 6 Ivan Dimov Str., BG 206627780.

2. General data for the Technical Project

2.1. The interlaboratory technical project MC 03/2022 is organized by PT provider USLSB – expert team, consisting of:

- Project leader – eng. Ilian Iliev
- Project coordinator – assoc. prof. Tony Venelinov
- Materials' Engineer – assoc. prof. Dr. eng. Ivan Rostovski
- Metrologist – eng. Ilian Iliev
- Expert in data collection and coding – eng. Stoyan Minev
- Technical expert for data evaluation – assoc. prof. Dr. eng. Liubomir Brakalov

The members of the expert team of the RT provider have the necessary qualification (specialized training), skills and experience in organizing interlaboratory comparisons and proficiency tests.

2.2. The procedure for conducting Interlaboratory Technical Project MC 03/2022 includes organizing, conducting and evaluating test material (raw material, product) through the participation of laboratories in interlaboratory comparison and proficiency testing according to established conditions, approved program, confirmed invitation and application for participation and execution of the provided instruction.

Along with the assessment of competence, the project aims to examine the ability of laboratories to use the assessment of test uncertainty in order to present more authoritatively, reliably and qualitatively in the measurement (determination) of indicators (characteristics) that are characterized by significant scattering (uncertainty) inherent in natural and artificial building materials.

2.3. The technical project is carried out according to EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010, as follows:

- Split sample testing scheme: The starting material will be distributed simultaneously among the participating test laboratories. Sufficient material will be retained to verify homogeneity, possible subsequent testing and sample stability.
- Homogeneity will be determined by appropriate testing by laboratories where sampling, homogenization, reduction and distribution of samples are performed in the presence of an expert team of the PT provider and according to its procedure. After evaluation of the results by the technical expert and verification of homogeneity, the samples will be sent to the participants. Otherwise, a new homogenization is performed according to the current procedures of the PT provider.



PLAN FOR PROFICIENCY TESTING

Total Pages 8

- An identification code will be assigned to each of the laboratories, which will be marked in the upper right corner of the instruction for confidentiality. The applied PT scheme is one-time depending on the frequency and at the same time multifaceted depending on the number of participants.
- Laboratories accept as “true value” (accepted value) and its uncertainty the value obtained by the independent expert through statistical processing of all equal results of laboratories.

2.4. The applied statistical processing, as well as the used testing standards are in accordance with the requirements of the following normative documents:

- [1] ISO/IEC 17025:2017, General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.
- [2.2] ISO/IEC GUIDE 99:2008 International vocabulary of metrology — Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM), 3rd edition, 2012 (2008 with minor changes)
- [3] BAS QR 18, Procedure for the policy of EA BAS regarding interlaboratory comparisons and proficiency tests.
- [4] ISO/IEC 17043:2010: Conformity assessment — General requirements for proficiency testing.
- [5.1] <http://www.nist.gov/pml/wmd/labmetrology/roundrobins.cfm>
- [5.2] <http://www.nist.gov/pml/wmd/labmetrology/upload/pt-ilc-report-terminology-guidance-8-4-2011-2.doc> - 2011-08-04
- [6] IUPAC: The International Harmonized Protocol for Proficiency Testing of Analytical Chemistry Laboratories, 2006, Pure Appl. Chem., **78**, No 1, pp. 145-196
- [7] ISO 13528:2015 Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparisons
- [8.1] ISO 5725:2019 Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurements methods and results. Part 2. Basic methods for determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method
- [8.2] Божанов Е., Вучков И., Статистически методи за моделиране и оптимизиране на многофакторни обекти, С., Техника, 1983
- [8.3] ISO 21748:2017, Guide to the use of repeatability, reproducibility and trueness estimates in measurement uncertainty estimation
- [8.4] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Welch%27s_t_test (Welch – Aspin test)
- [9] Harvey D., Modern analytical chemistry, McGraw-Hill, 2000
- [10] Дёрффель К., Статистика в аналитической химий, М., Мир, 1994
- [11] Eurachem / CITAC Guide, Quantifying uncertainty in analytical measurement, Third Edition, 2012
- [12.1] EN 933-1:2012 Tests for geometrical properties of aggregates - Part 1: Determination of particle size distribution - Sieving method.
- [12.2] EN 1097-7:2008 Tests for mechanical and physical properties of aggregates – Part7: Determination of the particle density of filler – Pycnometer method.



PLAN FOR PROFICIENCY TESTING

Total Pages 8

[12.3] EN 933-9:2009+A1:2013 Tests for geometrical properties of aggregates - Part 9: Assessment of fines - Methylene blue test.

[12.4] EN 1744-1:2009+A1:2012 Tests for chemical properties of aggregates - Part 1: Chemical analysis

[13], EN 1338:2006 Concrete paving blocks — Requirements and test methods.

[14.1] QP 4.6.1 HANDLING THE TEST OBJECTS

[14.2] QP 4.7.2 ASSESSMENT OF THE ASSIGNED VALUE OF PARAMETERS AND ENSURING ITS QUALITY AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTICIPANT'S PERFORMANCE IN ILC.

[15] Other documents related to the tests and ILC

[15.1] **Accreditation and Quality Assurance (2006) 11: 23–28, Validation of the Volhard method** for chloride determination in food, M. Naceur Haouet, M. Serena Altissimi, Marisa Framboas, Roberta Galarini

[15.2] EURACHEM / CITAC Guide CG 4 Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement, Third Edition, (Appendix F),

[15.3] The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics,

https://www.eurachem.org/images/stories/Guides/pdf/MV_guide_2nd_ed_EN.pdf

[16.1] Davis, J.R. Metals Handbook Desk Edition . ASM, 1998.

[16.2] Callister. W.D, JR. (2007). Materials Science and Engineering: An Introduction. 7th edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

3. Subcontracted activities, determination of homogeneity and stability.

Accredited PT provider (organizer) SSLSB for this technical project will use the services of Construction and testing center at TRA EOOD for Mineral Flour and Concrete Products - Concrete blocks for pavements 200x100x60 mm and only for sampling, homogenization, reduction and distribution of samples under the control of the PT provider.

Present persons during the process of sample preparation will be the Project leader – eng. Ilian Iliev, the project coordinator – assoc. prof. Dr. Tony Venelinov and the materials engineer – assoc. prof. Dr. eng. Ivan Rostovski.

Homogeneity will be determined by appropriate tests Accredited PT provider (organizer) SSLSB for this technical project will use the services of Construction and testing center at TRA EOOD – a subcontractor of the PT provider under its procedure and in the presence of members of its team. After evaluation of the results by the technical expert and verification of homogeneity, the samples will be sent to the participants. Otherwise, a new homogenization is performed according to the current procedures of the PT provider.

The stability of the samples will be determined by the subcontractor (Construction and testing center at TRA EOOD) at the request of the PT provider through the same tests that were performed to determine the level of homogeneity.

The assessment of the level of homogeneity and stability of the samples will be performed by the technical expert of the RT provider (assoc. prof. Dr. eng. Ivan Rostovski) and for this purpose will be used the statistical methods and information contained in EN



PLAN FOR PROFICIENCY TESTING

Total Pages 8

ISO/IEC 17043:2010, EN ISO/IEC 13258:2015, standard methods included in this suitability test, etc.

4. Criteria for participation and adequacy assessment

Accredited laboratories are invited to participate in the project, those that are in the process of accreditation or have many years of practical experience.

The processing, analysis and evaluation of the obtained results will be performed by the technical expert according to the documents specified in item 2.4.

Only assessments that are appropriate for the purposes of the PT are included in the project.

5. Number and type of expected participants in the proficiency testing scheme

Based on the accumulated experience of the organizer (PT provider ULSSB) in conducting PT schemes, the expected number of laboratories is about 40 and all are from the field of construction. The minimum number of participants in MC 03/2022 is set at 5.

6. Selection of measurements or characteristics for complete identification of methods

Interlaboratory technical project MC 03/2022 will cover the products: Mineral Flour and Concrete Products – Concrete blocks for pavements 200x100x60 mm, according to the standards and characteristics specified in the following table:

Test object	Code of the Standard	Title of the Standard	Tested parameter/characteristics
Mineral Flour / Filler	EN 933-1:2012	Tests for geometrical properties of aggregates - Part 1: Determination of particle size distribution - Sieving method.	Particle size distribution ua
	EN 1097-7:2008	Tests for mechanical and physical properties of aggregates - Part 7: Determination of the particle density of filler - Pycnometer method.	Particle density of filler – Pycnometer method ua
	EN 933-9:2009+A1:2013	Tests for geometrical properties of aggregates - Part 9: Assessment of fines - Methylene blue test.	Assessment of fines – Methylene blue test ua
	EN 1744-1:2009+A1:2012, clause 7	Tests for chemical properties of aggregates - Part 1: Chemical analysis	Water-soluble chloride salts ua
	EN 1744-1:2009+A1:2012, clause 12	Tests for chemical properties of aggregates - Part 1: Chemical analysis	Acid soluble sulfates ua
Concrete Products – Concrete blocks for pavements 200x100x60 mm	EN 1338:2003, Annex F	Concrete paving blocks - Requirements and test methods	Tensile splitting strength ua
	EN 1338:2003, Annex F	Concrete paving blocks - Requirements and test methods	Failure load ua
	EN 1338:2003, Annex E	Concrete paving blocks - Requirements and test methods	Water absorption ua

Note: All products and features are within the scope of accreditation of RT Provider.

The preparation of the test samples will be performed according to the standards set for the respective product.



PLAN FOR PROFICIENCY TESTING

Total Pages 8

7. Potential main sources of errors that may hinder good performance in MC 03/2022.

- Ignorance of procedures and relevant methods for sampling, testing and reduction.
- Improper application of procedures according to the relevant methods of sampling, testing and reduction.
- Use of inappropriate technical means.
- Use of defective or uncalibrated technical devices
- Incorrect estimation of measurement uncertainty
- Wrong transfer of data and information in the forms provided by the RT provider.

8. Instructions to participants (including levels of data protection, confidentiality), coding of participants individually and in the report.

Electronically, as well as from the website of the PT provider USLSB <https://ptprovider.sslsb.org/bg/pt-schemes/>, laboratories receive "Invitation to participate in inter-laboratory comparison and proficiency testing" – QF 4.4-3 and "Application" for participation in interlaboratory comparison and proficiency testing" – QF 4.4-4. After confirming their participation and after the expiration of the deadlines for applications, the laboratories receive their samples. Electronically, each requested laboratory receives a package of documents containing "Sampling Protocol" – QF 4.4-6 and "Instructions for conducting interlaboratory comparison and proficiency testing" – QF 4.4-5, the laboratories perform in the instructions term individual definitions of each characteristic/indicator selected by them. The deadlines for confirming the participation of the laboratories, for receiving and testing the samples, as well as the deadlines for feedback with the results obtained and the presentation of the report will be mentioned in the invitation and instructions for conducting (only the participating laboratories), which will accompany each test specimen submitted with a unique sample and laboratory identification code.

Upon receipt of the test samples, the laboratories also receive "test result sheets" – QF 4.4-7, which indicate the unique code of the respective laboratory, as well as the sample code known only to the project coordinator. The heads of the relevant conformity assessment bodies undertake to complete QF 4.10-1 "Privacy Statement".

The confidentiality of the results until their final presentation is achieved as follows. The coordinator informs the CAB that it is sending a test sample so that it is ready to receive it. The coded sample is transported to the CAB packed in a way that ensures identity, integrity, anonymity and metrology and stability. Upon acceptance, the CAB completes QF 4.4-6, which is returned to the coordinator. After completion of the tests and assessment of the uncertainty, the CAB fills in the form with the results Annex QF 4.4-7, which contain only the minimum necessary information and the participant's code. Annex/Annexes QF 4.4-7 are sent to the data collection and coding expert responsible for information only. The expert in data collection and coding (eng. Stoyan Minev) collects the results and transmits them in the form of QL 4.4-3 "Coded list of results" and QL 4.4-1 "List of participants" participating in MC 08/2021 and transmits them to the technical expert for data evaluation – assoc. prof. Dr. eng. Lyubomir Brakalov, for processing and general and individual evaluation. The Technical Expert has signed a privacy statement stating that he is not allowed to disseminate the information - QF 4.10-1. However, in principle, he does not have any access to primary information on CABs and tests.



PLAN FOR PROFICIENCY TESTING

Total Pages 8

As can be seen from the above, there is a separation of information flow and movement of samples, which ensures anonymity and impartiality of the participants and the independent expert.

For their part, the participating CABs and coordinators undertake not to disseminate information from the Interlaboratory Technical Project MC 03/2022 report and results in any form, unless requested to do so by SNAS or another authorized state body. When presenting results to such a body, CABs undertake to inform it of the confidential nature of the interlaboratory comparison. The results can also be used in a CAB audit, but this should also be ensured by a privacy statement from the auditor. That is why all participants in MC 03/2022 also sign declarations of confidentiality, which are an integral part of the Interlaboratory Technical Project MC 03/2022.

9. Transportation of the samples

The samples will be transported by the organizers in appropriate packaging from approved courier service providers (Bulgarian Post and Speedy).

10. Performing the test

During the test, participants undertake not to use external information that would suggest results from testing in other laboratories. For this reason, it is necessary to perform the tests as soon as possible. The heads of the relevant conformity assessment bodies undertake to complete QF 4.10-1 "Privacy Statement". For gross violations, participants can be removed from the scheme.

11. Completion of the interlaboratory comparison and proficiency test

The deadline for submission of complete documentation will be mentioned in the instructions to be sent by the organizer. Test reports will be sent to the e-mail specified by the organizer in the instructions.

12. Reporting and evaluation of ILC / PT results

The final report QF 4.8-1 will be prepared by the Technical Expert of data evaluation – assoc. prof. Dr. eng. Lyubomir Brakalov within the deadline specified in the instructions and will contain statistical processing of results and conclusions on the effectiveness of the process.

The statistical analysis used will be in accordance with EN 17043:2010, EN 13528:2015 and others.

In accordance with the rules for participation, CABs accept as "true value" (accepted, assigned or assigned value) and its uncertainty their estimates obtained by the technical expert through standard statistical processing of all peer laboratory results.

To eliminate outliers, this MC will use the Grubbs criterion, supplemented by limiting the data used by the three-sigma criterion and the Interquartile Range Method. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test is used to verify that all data lie within the normal distribution interval with a confidence level of at least 0.95.



PLAN FOR PROFICIENCY TESTING

Total Pages 8

The assessment of the reliability of the data in this MC will be used to assess the accepted value and its uncertainty made with the Kolmogorov and Smirnov criteria.

The report will include the following assessments and criteria for the performance of the participants: bias-deviation; z - assessment; ζ - assessment, according to the requirements of EN 13528:2015.

A document (Certificate QF 4.9-1) on the participation of laboratories in interlaboratory comparison and suitability testing will be attached.

13. Terms of the proficiency testing scheme and information for participants

- Applications for participation (QF 4.4-4) – **until 20.04.2022.**
- Dispatch of the test objects – **by 20.05.2022.**
- Sending the sample tracking code together with the package of documents ("Instructions for conducting interlaboratory comparison and suitability testing" - QF 4.4-5, "Sampling protocol" - QF 4.4-6, "Declaration (for confidentiality)) for protection of industrial and trade secrets ”- QF 4.10-1,“ Test results sheets ”- (QF 4.4-7) and“ Feedback sheet ”(QF 5.7-1) of the requested participants by e-mail: **by 20.05.2022 at the latest.**
- Conducting the test by the participants **20.06-25.06.2022**
- Presentation of test results (QF 4.4-7) of the results sheets for all products and indicators **by 26.06.2022 at the latest.**
- Preparation of a final report **by 31.07.2022.**
- Sending a final report with a certificate to the participants **by 15.08.2022.**

Detailed information will be provided to the participants through the "Instructions for conducting interlaboratory comparison and suitability testing" - QF 4.4-5 which will be sent together with the package of documents by e-mail.

14. Actions in case of lost or damaged test object

- The test sites within the Republic of Bulgaria reach from 24 to 48 hours after their dispatch and from 5 to 20 days abroad.
- All participants are notified by e-mail about the sending of samples. In the attached file of this e-mail is sent the full package of documents of the provider ("Instructions for interlaboratory comparison and proficiency testing" – QF 4.4-5, "Protocol for sampling" – QF 4.4-6, " Declaration (for confidentiality) for protection of industrial and commercial secrecy” – QF 4.10-1, “Test results sheets” – (QF 4.4-7) and “Feedback sheet” (QF 5.7-1)). For the participants from abroad they also receive the tracking number.
- In case the sample is unusable or damaged, the participant sends objective evidence/photos, together with the protocol for acceptance of the sample. A member of the PT provider's team sends a new sample of the backup samples provided.
- In case of loss of a test object, regardless of whose fault it is on the PT provider, participant or courier service provider, a member of the provider's team sends a new sample of the backup samples provided for that purpose.

**PLAN FOR PROFICIENCY TESTING***Total Pages 8*

Important for the participants: Upon receipt of the samples and documents, the participants are obliged to fill in electronically and send immediately form QF 4.4-6 "Protocol for acceptance of samples" for each sample by e-mail: ms2010@abv.bg to be assured of their integrity and suitability for testing.

15. Feedback and communication with participants

QF 5.7-1 "Feedback sheet" is filled in. It is sent by e-mail together with the other documents accompanying the test samples. The return of QF 5.7-1 "Feedback sheet" is also made via e-mail to the provider within the time limit specified in QF 4.4-5 "Instructions for conducting a proficiency test". Throughout the period of the Interlaboratory Technical Project MC 03/2022, the head of the respective participating laboratory or other authorized person maintains direct contact with the Project Manager and/or Coordinator via e-mail or telephone.

16. Planning or participating in technical meetings with participants

If necessary and desired by the participant, a meeting is planned to discuss the method of sample preparation, the method of sampling and/or testing, the primary and secondary results, the final results of the evaluations and the final report. This is usually necessary when the results are significantly distracted. The meeting can also be held online through various platforms such as Zoom, Skype and others.

Ratified**Manager of accredited PT provider USLSB:****eng. Ilian Iliev**